

For the recursive form of this filter, where each datum is weighted by its position in the chronological sequence, the recursive filter factor for the  $n^{\text{th}}$  point is given by

$$a_n = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n i} = \frac{2n}{n(n+1)} = \frac{2}{n+1} \quad (16)$$

Using Eq. (12),

n = 1	a <sub>1</sub> = 1	$\bar{X}_1 = x_1 = 6$	(17)
n = 2	a <sub>2</sub> = $\frac{2}{3}$	$\bar{X}_2 = 6 + \frac{2}{3}(5-6) = 5.33$	
n = 3	a <sub>3</sub> = $\frac{1}{2}$	$\bar{X}_3 = 5.33 + \frac{1}{2}(7-5.33) = 6.17$	

The "memory" (i.e., importance) of older data in this filter fades at a rate dictated by the filter. In this case, the 50<sup>th</sup> value is 50 times more important than the first, and the 100<sup>th</sup> value is twice as important as the 50<sup>th</sup> and 100 times more important than the first.

The exponentially-weighted filter provides the analyst with more flexibility. This filter uses  $F^i$  as a weighting factor, where the filter-control constant  $F$  is a value chosen between zero and one, and  $i$  is the "age-count" of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  data point. For this filter,  $i = 0$  now designates the current or latest data point,  $i = 1$  designates the immediately preceding or next-to-last data point, etc., so the data points are indexed in reverse chronological order starting with zero. The weighted least-squares solution is

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F^i x_{n-i}}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F^i} \quad (18)$$

Using  $F = 0.9$  and the same example as before,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_3 &= \frac{F^0 x_3 + F^1 x_2 + F^2 x_1}{F^0 + F^1 + F^2} \\ &= \frac{(.9)^0(7) + (.9)^1(5) + (.9)^2(6)}{(.9)^0 + (.9)^1 + (.9)^2} \\ &= \frac{7 + 4.5 + 4.86}{2.71} = \frac{16.36}{2.71} = 6.04 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The weighting of each data point for sample sizes up to 300 is shown in Figure 35 for values of  $F$  from 0.8 to 1.0. For  $F = 1$ , all points in the sample are weighted equally. For